





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/091,395	03/07/2002	Raymond J. Bergeron JR.	T2315-907777	1622
7590 11/13/2003			EXAMINER	
Miles & Stockbridge Suite 500 1751 Pinnacle Drive			CRIARES, THEODORE J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
McLean, VA 22102-3833			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 11/13/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	5				
CIST AVAILABLE COM	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/091,395	BERGERON, RAYMOND J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Theodore J. Criares	1617			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover shet with the	correspond nce address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Se	eptember 2003.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-30 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(st sentence of the specification o evisional application has been receive c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3 	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Cóntrol Number: 10/091,395

Art Unit: 1617



CLAIMS 1-30 ARE PRESENTED FOR EXAMINATION

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-10, in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim 1 is presented as a method of effecting anti-hypertensive action, whereas claims 2-10 are drawn as to a composition. During telephone interview with attorney Dennis P. Clarke on November 10, 2003 the examiner was advised that the claims were to be examined as composition claims in accordance with the original restriction requirement of mailed June 20, 2003.

Therefore, claims 1-10 are examined as composition claims and claims 11-30 are withdrawn from consideration.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 2-10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claims 2-10 do not further limit claim 1 since claim 1 recites R and R¹ are both ethyl. In claims 5 and 6 R and R¹ are defined as alkyl and aralkyl, in claims 5, 7, 9 and 10 these radicals are defined as methyl, propyl and benzyl radicals. Each of these radicals do not further limit claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/091,395

Art Unit: 1617



Claim 2 recites n is 3 and m is 4 which does not further limit claim 1. Similarly, claim 4 defines m and n as 4.

Claims 3 is deemed redundant since it defines m and n as 3 which is the definition set forth in claim 1.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 5,455,2377. This is a double patenting rejection.

The examiner believes that there is a common assignee of the application although the inventors are different. It is to be noted that the typed name on the declaration identifies the inventor as Jr. However, the signature lacks Jr. Clarification, for the record is requested.

The preamble of the present claims have not been given any weight.

None of the claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theodore J. Criares whose telephone number is 308-

Application/Control Number: 10/091,395

Page 4

Art Unit: 1617

4607. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 A.M. to 5:00P.M. Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-746-6897.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1235.

rimary Examiner

11/10/03

tjc